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Abstract: The World Scientific Community has carried out several studies on the novel coronavirus, responsible for the current COVID-19 pandemic. This study aimed to verify the development level and research evolution on COVID-19, summarizing experts’ main trends in the first half of 2020. The most cited articles focused on understanding the disease, addressing aspects of its transmission, viral activity period, symptoms, health complications, risk factors, and the estimate of new cases. These papers also focused on the treatment/prevention and management of COVID-19. Several drugs and alternative treatments have been investigated, such as the convalescent plasma transfusion and stem cell transplantation, while an efficient vaccine is developed. Prevention and control measures, such as social isolation and immediate case identification, were also investigated. Therefore, the main COVID-19 trends were identified and classified in disease, treatment/prevention, and pandemic management, contributing to scientific understanding and future studies.
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Introduction


In the first half of 2020 alone, the COVID-19 pandemic was marked by more than 11.6 million infected people, more than 500,000 deaths, and 216 affected countries worldwide, according to WHO. Until this moment, the USA and Brazil were the countries with most disease cases1.

With the emergence and advancement of COVID-19, the world scientific community began a series of investigations and research to understand the behavior of the novel coronavirus in the human body, the consequences of the disease caused by it, and the most effective treatment for the combating of the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, several studies have presented disease management guidelines or held discussions about implementing public health policies by the affected countries’ governments2,3. Social isolation measures, rapid identification of suspected cases, and the tracking and follow-up of potential contacts between infected and non-infected were the main strategies suggested as efficient in preventing and controlling the COVID-19.

In this study, a prospection of scientific articles about COVID-19 was carried out, aiming to establish the scientific trajectory of the disease and identifying the development level of worldwide research on the novel coronavirus in recent months, specifically from February to June 2020. The selected papers were classified according to their approach, highlighting the transmission aspects, symptoms, risk factors, proposed treatments, clinical tests, and measures to prevent and control the disease.

Scientific Studies

China, the USA, and the U.K. were the countries with the most papers on COVID-19 cited by the scientific community. About 28% of the scientific investigations were performed by Chinese research institutions and universities, followed by 16% from the USA and 11% from the U.K. Several studies were conducted in hospitals by health professionals linked or not to the academy (Figure 1). Medical associations from different countries and national and international scientific research centers, such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (USA) 5 have also developed research on the subject.

About 60% of the papers presented disease aspects, whose main nomenclatures were: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2 (acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2), Novel coronavirus pneumonia, and Wuhan pneumonia. The novel coronavirus origin was attributed to two animals, the bat and the pangolin. For the bats, two types of viruses have been reported: bat-SL-CoVZC45 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21. For the pangolins, the Pangolin-CoV was identified2. About 23% of the papers corresponded to the disease’s treatment and prevention investigations, and 17% discussed COVID-19 management (Figure 2). Many studies on the disease were due to the novelty of this virus, in which, despite the experience with Sars-CoV in 2003, little was known about the treatment and control forms. Therefore, in the first months, specialists investigated the disease mostly to understand it and control it.

Scientific Trajectory

The scientific trajectory revealed essential aspects for the understanding of the COVID-19. Approximately 70% of the papers discussed the disease in March 2020, 50% approached the treatment and prevention in February 2020, and 30% suggested management measures of disease in May 2020 (Figure 3). The search for treatment before a complete understanding of the disease can be explained by the novelty and the rapid advance of COVID-19, which in February 2020 required immediate action to control the infection. With the disease development and the scientific research advancement, about 67% of the investigations in June 2020 focused on understanding the disease.
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Disease

COVID-19 was characterized as flu, capable of generating complications in the human respiratory system, such as pneumonia. People with comorbidities or old age were more prone to the most severe disease stage, transmitted through direct contact with infected people and the air. The symptomatic period was more extended than that of ordinary flu, and the transmissibility and infectivity were considered more significant than SARS-CoV, with the prediction of many more contaminated and deaths. The research focus reporting the disease has varied over the months. In February 2020, the concern was to predict the disease’s behavior, while in June 2020, it was to identify the health complications caused by COVID-19. The other disease aspects, such as transmission, time, symptoms, and risk factors, were addressed according to their identification and occurrence in the infected people (Figure 4).

Transmission

Two types of transmission have been identified in scientific research: secondary and tertiary transmissions. In both cases, the transmission occurred through direct contact by droplets exchanged between infected and healthy people, as verified by previous studies. The secondary transmission was also attributed to hospital transmission and contact between asymptomatic and healthy patients. However, the COVID-19 expansion in the world has been attributed to international travel, especially by people from China. One of China’s first transmission cases occurred with a 54-year-old Korean man who lived in Wuhan, China. Upon returning to Korea, the man transmitted the disease to a friend (secondary route), who, in turn, transmitted it to his wife, son, and another friend (tertiary route). At the beginning of the pandemic, both transmission types were also reported in scientific articles; however, in March 2020, the only secondary transmission was reported. In the following months until June 2020, the novel coronavirus’s transmission type was not reported by the most cited papers (Figure 5A). The closure of borders and international airports possibly contributed to the reduction of tertiary transmissions in the world.
Time

The novel coronavirus’ behavior and the disease manifestation were correlated with the incubation, communicable, and symptomatic periods, and viral shedding (Figure 5B). The average incubation period was 5 days, similar to the SARS time, within the expected range for MERS (between 5 and 7 days), and less than the non-SARS (3 days)13. However, other studies have reported an average time between 5 and 7 days, such as 5.8 days6, 6.4 days4,11, and 6.7 days12. The incubation period determination contributes to the monitoring, surveillance, control, and estimation of COVID-199. The incubation period was investigated between March and May 2020, in at least 67% of the papers, possibly because it represents an important control factor for disease transmission.

The communicable period corresponds to the interval between the positive clinical diagnosis and the negative tests for COVID-19. The average communicable period was 9.5 days, reaching up to 21 days for asymptomatic cases13. The average COVID-19 symptoms period was 11.5 days in 97.5% of patients, with an interval of 1.2 days between the onset of symptoms and hospitalization. Disease development was also expected after 14 days of active monitoring or quarantine in about 101 cases for every 10,0009. The viral shedding median was 20 days, reaching up 37 days in cured patients. The viral shedding has been identified in killed patients. For the treated patients reaching up 37 days in cured patients. The viral shedding has also been identified in asymptomatic cases, however, and small nodular lesions24, being the diffuse or irregular shape, with ground-glass opacity consolidation, observed between 1 and 3 weeks from disease onset14,15. Irregular lesions were identified mainly in the lower lobes and along the pleura, while nodular lesions were distributed in the white-vascular bundle forms20. These anomalies have also been identified in asymptomatic patients, thus being additional evidence to the laboratory results for an early COVID-19 diagnosis27.

Symptoms

The main COVID-19 symptoms were fever, cough, sputum, chills, fatigue, shortness of breath, headache, and olfactory and gustatory disorders. Several asymptomatic cases have also been identified worldwide (Figure 5C). Fever was the most common symptom among patients, and the first identified early in the pandemic13, followed by dry cough10, fatigue or myalgia, and headache2,11,12. The onset of fever and cough occurred, respectively, in 5 and 7 days5, being the fever classified as mild (37.3-38.9 °C) or moderate (38.1-39 °C)16. The onset of fatigue occurred in 8 days12.

Other symptoms also verified in COVID-19 patients were sputum13, chills, shortness of breath or dyspnea13,12, diarrhea, and olfactory and gustatory disorders13. In almost 86% of patients, olfactory dysfunctions were identified while gustatory dysfunctions in 88% of those evaluated in a European clinical study on these symptoms. Both dysfunctions were not associated with nasal obstruction, and the women were more affected than men19.

Asymptomatic cases were also identified. In a study, 24 asymptomatic cases were evaluated. Approximately 21% developed symptoms, such as fever, cough, and fatigue during hospitalization, and at least 21% had complications in the lungs13. In another study, the COVID-19 proportion of asymptomatic cases reached 32%, higher than measles (8%), and lower than polio (90-95%)13. The information on asymptomatic cases can help COVID-19 infection control and prevent a second outbreak.

Health complications

COVID-19 is an acute respiratory syndrome that can progress to pneumonia. Clinical evidence of this complication was performed by chest computed tomography (C.T.), which identified bilateral abnormalities, peripheral (showing air bronchograms), ill-defined, and ground-glass opacification21. These abnormalities predominantly involved the lower lobes of the right lung10,12. The multifocal peripheral ground-glass image pattern and mixed opacity prevalent in the lower lung can be seen in the first week of the disease, although many infected people do not have these complications previously18. Furthermore, patients may have multiple lobe involvement, interlobar septal thickening23, unilobar inverted halo24, traction bronchiectasis, and architectural distortion25. The diagnosed lesions included irregular lesions, large confluent, and small nodular lesions24, being the diffuse or irregular shape, with ground-glass opacity consolidation, observed between 1 and 3 weeks from disease onset14,15. Irregular lesions were identified mainly in the lower lobes and along the pleura, while nodular lesions were distributed in the white-vascular bundle forms13. These anomalies have also been identified in asymptomatic patients, thus being additional evidence to the laboratory results for an early COVID-19 diagnosis27.

Psychosomatic issues, such as anxiety, stress, and depression during the COVID-19 pandemic, were observed, especially in March and April 2020, in at least 10% of the most cited papers. An online survey with more than 1,200 people was conducted in 134 Chinese cities. More than half of the interviewees reported moderate to severe psychological impact, 16.5% depressive symptoms, approximately 29% anxiety, and just over 8% some stress. The survey also found that just over 75% of respondents had concerns about family members’ contamination. The most severe levels of mental health complications have been identified among women, especially students29.

Risk factors

The main risk factors for COVID-19 involved age, gender, and comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, heart disease, and cancer (Figure 5E). Age was considered the leading risk factor by the scientific community since the advanced age increased hospital deaths by a ratio of 1:1014. The average age was 63-64 years, for the most severe cases13,20. China and the USA have seen more severe cases in people over the age of 655. The children with an average age of 8 years presented mild to moderate symptoms or were diagnosed as asymptomatic in most cases. When associated with the male gender, underlying comorbidities, and progressive radiographic deterioration13,22, the death probability was even more remarkable. Hypertension, diabetes,
and coronary heart disease were identified as comorbidities critical to COVID-19\textsuperscript{14,15}. Obesity was also verified\textsuperscript{16}. Patients with acute kidney injury (AKI) had a higher risk of hospital death\textsuperscript{17}. Cancer was also assessed as an essential risk factor, whose clinical management requires structure, preparation, and agility on the oncology community\textsuperscript{18}, primarily due to the immunocompromise of patients undergoing invasive cancer treatment\textsuperscript{19}.

**Estimative**

Some scientific studies estimated the number of cases, mortality rate, and contact tracing (especially the zero case) since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic (Figure 5F). The increase of cases worldwide was related to international travel and late social isolation established by countries, leading to an immediate outbreak of COVID-19, as previously seen in Italy and Spain, and after in the USA and Brazil. The mortality rates in China and Italy became identical and equal to 2.3%, with older adults’ predominance. The failure to identify the Italian zero case may have influenced that rate\textsuperscript{20}. Travel ban measures in Wuhan (China) contributed to the reduction of about 80\% of predicted imported cases in mid-February 2020, despite a predicted disease progression delay of only 3 to 5 days. Travel restrictions would only effectively alter the COVID-19 progress if combined with a reduction of at least 50\% in the community transmissions\textsuperscript{21}. Travel restrictions were also beneficial in reducing the average number of daily reproductions in Wuhan, which dropped from 2.35 to 1.05 a week after adopting these measures\textsuperscript{22}. All estimates were made using the exponential growth rate from Chinese exported cases for COVID-19, where the necessary number of reproduction (secondary transmission) and the mortality rate could be determined. The COVID-19 pandemic was predicted from two scenarios: from a single case and using the growth rate adjusted with other parameters. Mortality rates ranged from 5.3\% to 8.4\%, while the number of secondary cases ranged from 2.1 to 3.2 for the scenarios, respectively\textsuperscript{23}. In May and June 2020, research on the COVID-19 cases’ estimation was not among the most cited papers in the period, possibly due to disease stabilization in many countries and the interest in other more critical disease aspects.

**Treatment and Prevention**

COVID-19 treatments have included strategies to control the disease’s leading symptoms and health complications due to the limitations in the rapid development of a vaccine against the
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*Figure 5.* Scientific trajectory on COVID-19 disease in terms of (A) Transmission. (B) Time. (C) Symptoms. (D) Health complications. (E) Risk factors. (F) Estimative.
SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, different drugs have been investigated since February 2020 as treatment measures, considered palliative and not preventive ones. Medicaments used to fight other viruses have been widely carried out. Alternatively, antibody transfusion and stem cell transplantation have been evaluated in some studies (Figure 6).

**Figure 6.** Main treatment and prevention approaches identified in research on COVID-19.

**Medicines**

Several antiviral drugs have been investigated (Figure 7). Lopinavir-ritonavir was used to treat HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) and was used to treat COVID-19 since it had previous results in vitro and clinical studies against SARS-CoV. It was prescribed twice a day for 14 days to infected adult patients, and the results were compared to the standard COVID-19 treatment. There was no improvement in patients who used lopinavir-ritonavir. However, the first case of COVID-19 in Korea was treated with lopinavir-ritonavir, which significantly reduced the viral load of coronavirus.

Nucleotide inhibitors such as Anti-HCV used to fight hepatitis C by inhibiting RNA polymerase, and inhibitors of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS), considered vasoactive peptides were also investigated. Examples of anti-polymerase drugs with positive results for the COVID-19 treatment were sofosbuvir, IDA-184, ribavirin, and Remdesivir. The RAAS inhibitors have been indicated in severe clinical cases, especially in patients with comorbidities related to heart disease. However, the real activity of these inhibitors in combating COVID-19 has been researched. The angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is considered responsible for coronavirus infection since it functions as a receptor. Studies suggested that RAAS inhibition favors ACE2 overexpression, causing viral activity to increase. Therefore, further studies involving RAAS inhibitors must be carried out to verify their real benefits against COVID-19.

Other essential drugs investigated were chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, which have generated much discussion in the scientific community, although about 20 clinical studies have been carried out in several Chinese hospitals with different coronaviruses SARS-CoV and SAR-CoV-2. These drugs have been used effectively to combat malaria, Q fever, and Whipple’s disease, and in a recent study, the chloroquine helped reduce pneumonia, duration of symptoms, and viral shedding of COVID-19, without causing severe side effects. Investigations of drugs such as methylprednisolone, moxifloxacin, interferon alfa-2b, physicochemical inhalation, meropenem, Kaletra, corticoids, Arbidol, and IFN-α have also been reported.

**Vaccines**

According to WHO, it will take at least 18 months for a vaccine against the novel coronavirus to be completed, although different research groups and experts are in a real scientific race for its fast development. Currently, treatments only combat symptoms, acting as anti-inflammatory and antiviral drugs. Experience with immunological studies of SARS-CoV and its similarity to SARS-CoV-2 allowed the tracking and identification of B and T cell epitopes in SARS-CoV immunogenic structural proteins, also identified in SARS-CoV-2. As no epitope mutations were observed in more than 120 SARS-CoV-2 sequences, they were considered useful in researching a new vaccine, with complete and global coverage. Other vaccines have also been investigated, but not reported in the most cited articles in the first half of 2020, possibly because they are confidential research or in little advanced development stages.

**Antibodies and Stem Cells**

Alternative COVID-19 treatments have included the convalescent plasma (C.P.) transfusion from recently cured donors containing neutralizing antibodies, and the mesenchymal stem cells (CTMs) transplantation. C.P. therapy was considered efficient in severe COVID-19 cases, contributing to the viremia disappearance in 7 days after the transfusion. An increase in the lymphocyte number and a reduction in C-reactive protein was seen within days, and the lung injuries were absorbed within 7 days. C.P. therapy also helped stabilize body temperature and remove mechanical ventilators, which happened 3 weeks after the transfusion. In turn, the transplantation of MSCs had an immunomodulatory function, which was responsible for an improvement in the pulmonary functions of the patients 2 days after the transplant, a hospital discharge after 10 days, and a general clinical improvement after 14 days. The MSCs transplantation contributed to increased lymphocytes, decreased C-reactive protein, and the disappearance of immune cells secreting overactive cytokines. Although a limited number of people have undergone both treatments, they are promising in combating the novel coronavirus and potential interest in future investigations.

**Management of COVID-19**

The management of COVID-19 involved control strategies that range from outstanding medical care and personal hygiene to the social isolation measures. Furthermore, the clinical case determination and the disease importation control, either between countries or between regions of the same country, have been necessary measures for the effective control of COVID-19 (Figure 8). Although these strategies have been prioritized differently from February to June 2020,
they have all been equally important in reducing the number of cases or stabilizing the COVID-19 and overcoming it in the coming months.

**In the Intensive Care Unit (ICU)**

The care for patients admitted to the ICU has involved adopting special medical measures and protocols, which could ensure health professionals’ safety and patients’ well-being. The main procedures included the infection control (risks of SARS-CoV-2 transmission), laboratory tests, supportive care (continuous renal replacement - CRRT, invasive mechanical ventilation - ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation - ECMO), hemodynamic support, and COVID-19 therapy. Some procedures were considered specific and necessary in patients with COVID-19, such as emergency tracheal intubation, predicted or unexpected difficult tracheal intubation, cardiac arrest, anesthetic care, and tracheal extubating (Figure 9A). Although ventilatory support was mostly reported in April 2020 in 56% of the most cited papers, all measures related to care in the ICU were used equally in May 2020, possibly due to the disease’s peak in many countries in this period.

**Social Isolation**

Social isolation was a measure used to contain viruses such as SARS and COVID-19, by reducing the disease peak and flattening the curve over time, to ensure sufficient time for the government to implement public health care measures. The main social isolation measures included the closure of schools and workplaces non-essential (non-food and non-health) and people’s reduced movement on the streets and resource allocation. Non-African countries can also use these measures.

**Prevention and Control Procedures**

Other COVID-19 prevention and control measures included personal hygiene care such as handwashing after direct contact with infected people or with the environment, the distancing of wild and farm animals, the use of alcohol 70%, and masks in public places. Cancer and dental medicine patients must be treated using particular protocols to reduce the contamination risk. Access to information is crucial for the correct and conscious procedure implementations to combat the novel coronavirus.

**Clinical tests**

The COVID-19 diagnoses were carried out by direct and indirect assays, from the determining nucleic acids, immunohistochemical stains, and image tests involving 18F-FDG PET/CT (Figure 9B). The clinical test based on viral nucleic acid was the primary technique reported. Immunohistochemical stains are still under development, and the 18F-FDG PET/CT test was able to identify the presence of COVID-19 in tested positive patients. The 18F-FDG PET/CT test consists of a hybrid technique between positron emission tomography (PET) and conventional computed tomography (C.T.), using the 18F-Fluordeoxiglicose (FDG), a glucose analog, as a tracer. This technique allows for obtaining metabolic and anatomical information. Tomography images helped identify ground-glass peripheral opacities, pulmonary consolidations in lung lobes, and lung lesions with lymph node involvement in patients with COVID-19.

**Importation**

A critical study on vulnerability to COVID-19 in developing countries, especially in Africa, was carried out. The COVID-19 importation was related to the recipient country’s ability to respond to the outbreak. Countries such as Egypt, Algeria, and South Africa presented a higher importation risk of the novel coronavirus, but a high capacity to control the disease. Countries such as Ethiopia, Nigeria, Sudan, Angola, Ghana, Tanzania, and Kenya with moderate risk showed high vulnerability to control the outbreak. The same was verified for the provinces of Guangdong, Fujian, and Beijing. This study can be used to reference countries with limited preparedness to deal with COVID-19, suggesting the adoption of intensified surveillance and resource allocation. Non-African countries can also use these measures.

**Conclusions**

It was possible to establish the development level of scientific research on SARS-CoV-2, summarizing the major studies carried out in the first half of 2020, and highlighting the scientific community’s main discussions on the disease, treatment/prevention, and management of COVID-19. This compilation study can help future research by identifying knowledge gaps in coronavirus and its disease. Furthermore, the information contained herein may be used in studies on new drugs capable of combating this viral infection, developing vaccines in a shorter period, and on measures to control new COVID-19 cases. This study also helps to understand the disease behavior and proposing solutions for the resumption of economic and social activities by affected countries based on scientific knowledge. All the experience gained with COVID-19 should be used to anticipate future scenarios and establish more effective control procedures to reduce new pandemics’ probability in the coming years.
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