

ARTICLE / INVESTIGACIÓN

Effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on the vegetative growth characteristics of Cauliflower, Mica Cultivar

Mohammad Salman Kareem Al-Zubaidi¹, Basem Rahem Bader^{2*}, Hassan Hadi Al-Alawi², Mohammed Ali Abood², Hassan Rashid Jassim Al-Afraji², Zainab Hassan Akram³

DOI: [10.21931/RB/2023.08.02.87](https://doi.org/10.21931/RB/2023.08.02.87)

¹Ministry of Education, Directorate of Education, Diyala, Baqubah, Iraq.

²Department of Soil Science and Water Resources, College of Agriculture, University of Diyala, Iraq.

³Department of Horticulture and Landscape, College of Agriculture, University of Diyala, Iraq.

Corresponding author: basembader@uodiyala.edu.iq

Abstract: In the agricultural season 2019-2020 in one of the farming fields in Muqadadiya district, Haruniya region Kilo 21, 40 km north-east of Baquba district of Diyala governorate, order to study the effect of adding anticline and marine algae on the vegetative growth characteristics of broccoli, mica cultivar. The results showed the superiority of anti-salinity treatment in most of the studied traits, including (Plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, stem diameter, chlorophyll b,a concentration and carotene percentage in leaves) which achieved (69.10 cm, 29.08 leaf¹, 235.16 dm² plant⁻¹, 29.01 mm, 0.68 mg g⁻¹, 0.51 mg g⁻¹, 0.58%) respectively also the spray of marine algae superiority at most of the studied traits, including in some characteristics (Plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, stem diameter, chlorophyll b,a and carotene percentage in leaves) (69.53 cm, 31.83 leaf¹, 272.71 dm² plant⁻¹, 33.88 mm, 0.70 mg g⁻¹, 0.53 mg g⁻¹, 0.62%) respectively and the interaction between the two factors was treatment significant and superiority at in most of the traits, including (Plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, stem diameter, chlorophyll b,a concentration and carotene percentage in leaves) in some traits (76.30 cm, 33.86 leaf¹, 301.17 dm² plant⁻¹, 35.50 mm, 0.80 mg g⁻¹, 0.56 mg g⁻¹, 0.70%) respectively.

Key words: Anti-saline, foliar nutrition, Marine Algae extract, Cauliflower.

Introduction

The phenomenon of salinity is added to soils with a salt concentration near the ground's surface to prevent it from moving upwards, which may lead to crop damage. It ends the problem of the accumulated salt without forming impermeable layers. The effect of the anticline in protecting saline lands to increase agricultural production influences the reduction of water evaporation in the soil and the groundwater level, and they also found that it reduces the entry of salt water from the sea added by the wind into the ground. By conserving available water in the soil¹, Foliar feeding provides the plant with nutrients in the vegetative system, which can absorb the elements. Foliar fertilization is one of the easiest ways to deliver nutrients to the plant and is considered a supplement to organic fertilizers in the soil². Marine algae is one of the most essential and best organic sources used in agricultural production, and it is a supplement to, and not a substitute for, fertilizers³. Marine algae contain nutrients and growth regulators such as auxins, gibberellins and amino acids that improve vegetative and root growth. Marine algae also contribute to increasing the plant's strength and ability to absorb elements, thus increasing its resistance to diseases, which leads to its productivity and improvement of its quality⁴. These algae increase the efficiency of nutrient absorption as well as increase the activity of the respiration process. Over 15 million tons are used annually in the agricultural field and contain more than a group of growth promoters such as auxins, vitamins, amino and organic acids, and polysaccharides⁵. The results are superior and direct in seaweed, extraction method, concentration, addition me-

thod, and addition time (6) found that this extract increases most of the plant's studied vegetative properties and leaf content. (7) obtained a significant increase in the number of leaves and seedlings when spraying seaweed on the plant Cauliflower, and the scientific name is *Brassica tolerance var botrytis* L. It belongs to the cruciferous family Brassicaceae. It is one of the most essential winter vegetables. The area planted with Cauliflower reached 3.955 dunams, with productivity of 7.187 tons⁸; therefore, this study aims to know the effect of adding antisaline and marine algae to their best concentration.

Materials and methods

A field experiment was carried out within the design of randomized complete sectors and with a factorial investigation in the agricultural season 2019-2020 in one of the farming fields in the district of Muqadadiya, Harouniyah area Kilo 21 It is 40 km north-east of Baquba district of Diyala governorate, to study the effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on the vegetative growth characteristics of Cauliflower, Mica type.

Study factors

The experiment included two factors; the first factor is anti-salinity, symbolized by (S₀, S₁, S₂) and at a concentration (0, 1, 2 ml L⁻¹) sequentially added to the soil and the second factor is marine algae and symbolized by the

Citation: Al-Zubaidi, M.; Bader, F.; Lastname, B.; Al-Alawi,H; Abood,M; Al-Afraji,H; Akram ,Z. Effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on the vegetative growth characteristics of Cauliflower, Mica Cultivar. *Revis Bionatura* 2023;8 (2) 87. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21931/RB/2023.08.02.87>

Received: 15 May 2023 / **Accepted:** 10 June 2023 / **Published:** 15 June 2023

Publisher's Note: Bionatura stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).



symbol (T_0 , T_1 , T_2) and at a concentration of (0, 2, 4 ml L^{-1}), sequentially sprayed on the leaves. The seedlings were planted on 10/10/2019, and the crop was harvested on 25/12/2019. Ten samples were taken randomly from the soil and mixed well, and homogeneously, a representative sample of the field was taken for analysis before planting from 0-30 cm depth. They were pneumatically dried, ground with a wooden hammer, sieved with holes diameter (2 mm), divided into several sections to prevent the sample from being damaged or lost, and kept in different known places until analysis. The following traits were taken: plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, stem diameter, chlorophyll a, b and the percentage of carotene in leaves, as shown in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using the statistical program (SAS), and the significant differences between the means were tested according to the (Duncan) polynomial test at the probability level of 0.05⁹.

Results

Plant height (cm)

Table (2) shows a significant difference when adding antialine to treatment S_2 , which amounted to 69.10 cm. In comparison, treatment S_0 amounted to 59.64 cm, and adding marine algae was superior to treatment T_2 when it reached 69.53 cm. On the comparison treatment, T_0 amounted to 58.45 cm, and the interaction between the two factors was the highest value in treatment $S_2 T_2$, which amounted to 76.30 cm. On the comparison treatment, $S_0 T_0$ amounted to 53.96 cm.

Number of leaves (leaf plant⁻¹)

Table 3 shows a significant difference when adding anticleine when treatment S_2 was 29.08 leaf plant⁻¹ on the comparison treatment S_0 . It reached 26.36 leaf plant⁻¹, and the addition of marine algae was superior to treatment T_2 when

Soil Properties	Values	Unit
Electrical conductivity EC _{1:1}	1.92	ds m ⁻¹
Soil pH _{1:1}	7.57	
Organic matter	19.6	g kg ⁻¹
Available nutrients		
available nitrogen	45.18	mg kg ⁻¹
available phosphorous	12.24	
available- potassium	192.92	
Available iron	3.47	
Bulk density	1.4	Mg m ⁻³
Soil Separators		
clay	524	g kg ⁻¹
silt	88	
sand	388	
	clay	soil texture

Table 1. Shows the analysis results of the chemical and physical properties of the study soil before planting. In the Laboratory of Soil and Water Resources Sciences, College of Agriculture, Diyala University.

	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	antisaline average
S ₀	53.96	60.46	64.5	59.64
	d	cd	Bc	B
S ₁	59.73	63.66	67.8	63.73
	cd	bc	bc	B
S ₂	61.66	69.33	76.3	69.1
	bc	ab	a	A
Marine algae average	58.45	64.48	69.53	
	C	B	A	

*According to Duncan's polynomial test, The coefficients with similar letters do not differ significantly at the probability level of 0.05.

Table 2. The effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on plant height (cm).

	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	Antisaline average
S ₀	22.2	26.53	30.36	26.36
	f	cdef	Abc	B
S ₁	24	27.53	31.26	27.6
	ef	bcde	Ab	AB
S ₂	24.56	28.83	33.86	29.08
	def	bcd	a	A
Marine algae average	23.58	27.63	31.83	
	C	B	A	

*According to Duncan's polynomial test, The coefficients with similar letters do not differ significantly at the probability level of 0.05.

Table 3. The effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on the number of leaves (leaf⁻¹).

it went 31.83 leaf plant⁻¹ over the control treatment T₀. It got 23.58 leaf plant⁻¹, while the interaction between the two factors was the highest value when treatment S₂T₂ arrived at 33.86 leaf plant⁻¹ and compared to treatment S₀T₀, which amounted to 22.20 leaf plant⁻¹.

Leaf area (dm⁻¹)

Table (4) shows a significant difference when adding anticline when treatment S₂ reached 235.16 dm⁻¹ over the comparison treatment S₀. It got 217.33 dm⁻¹, and adding marine algae was superior to treatment T₂ when it came to 272.71 dm⁻¹ over the control treatment T₀. It reached 174.31 dm⁻¹, while the interaction between the two factors was the highest value in treatment S₂T₂, which amounted to 301.17 dm⁻¹, and in comparison treatment S₀T₀, which amounted to 189.77 dm⁻¹.

Diamater of the stem (mm)

Table (5) shows a significant difference when adding anticline in treatment S₂, which amounted to 29.01 mm compared to treatment S₀. It reached 28.56 mm, and adding marine algae when it was T₂, which amounted to 33.88 mm, was superior to the control treatment T₀, which amounted to 23.64 mm. As for the interaction between the two factors, the highest value was in the treatment S₂T₂, which amounted to 35.50 mm, and in the comparison treatment S₀T₀, which amounted to 23.40 mm.

The concentration of chlorophyll an in leaves (mg g⁻¹)

Table 6 shows a significant difference when adding antisaline when treatment S₂ was 0.68 mg g⁻¹ over the comparison treatment S₀. It reached 0.55 mg g⁻¹, and the addition of marine algae was superior to treatment T₂, which amount-

ted to 0.70 mg g⁻¹, over the control treatment T₀, which amounted to 0.45 mg g⁻¹. As for the interaction between the two factors, the highest value was in treatment S₂T₂, which amounted to 0.80 mg g⁻¹, and in comparison treatment S₀T₀, which amounted to 0.46 mg g⁻¹.

The concentration of chlorophyll b in leaves (mg g⁻¹)

It appears from Table 7 that there is a significant difference when adding antisaline in treatment S₂, which amounted to 0.51 mg g⁻¹ in the comparison treatment S₀. It reached 0.44 mg g⁻¹, and adding marine algae was superior to treatment T₂ when it arrived at 0.53 mg g⁻¹ over the control treatment T₀. It got 0.40 mg g⁻¹, while the interaction between the two factors was the highest value in treatment S₂T₂, which amounted to 0.56 mg g⁻¹, and in comparison, treatment S₀T₀, which amounted to 0.40 mg g⁻¹.

Carotene content in leaves (mg g⁻¹)

Table 8 shows a significant difference when adding antisaline when treatment S₂ was 0.58 mg g⁻¹ on the comparison treatment S₀. It reached 0.47 mg g⁻¹, and adding marine algae was superior to treatment T₂ when it reached 0.62 mg g⁻¹ over the control treatment T₀. It amounted to 0.45 mg g⁻¹, while the interaction between the two factors was the highest value in treatment S₂T₂, which amounted to 0.70 mg g⁻¹, and in comparison treatment S₀T₀, which amounted to 0.43 mg g⁻¹.

Discussion

The results of Tables 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3 and 2 show a significant difference when adding antisaline. The reason for

	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	antisaline average
S ₀	198.77	203.53	249.7	217.33
	bcd	bcd	abc	AB
S ₁	124.43	168.13	267.27	186.61
	d	cd	ab	B

*According to Duncan's polynomial test, The coefficients with similar letters do not differ significantly at the probability level of 0.05.

Table 4. The effect of adding antisaline and marine algae to the leaf area (dm² plant⁻¹).

	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	antisaline average
S ₀	23.4	28.43	33.86	28.56
	d	c	ab	B
S ₁	23.86	28.56	32.3	28.23
	d	c	b	B

*According to Duncan's polynomial test, The coefficients with similar letters do not differ significantly at the 0.05 probability level.

Table 5. Effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on stem diameter (mm).

	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	antisaline average
S ₀	0.46	0.53	0.66	0.55
	cd	bcd	abc	B
S ₁	0.33	0.53	0.63	0.5
	d	bcd	abc	B
S ₂	0.56	0.7	0.8	0.68
	bc	ab	a	A
Marine algae average	0.45	0.58	0.7	
	C	B	A	

*According to Duncan's polynomial test, The coefficients with similar letters do not differ significantly at the 0.05 probability level.

Table 6. The effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on the concentration of chlorophyll a in leaves (mg g⁻¹).

	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	antisaline average
S ₀	0.4	0.4	0.53	0.44
	cd	cd	ab	B
S ₁	0.36	0.43	0.5	0.43
	d	bcd	abc	B

*According to Duncan's polynomial test, The coefficients with similar letters do not differ significantly at the 0.05 probability level.

Table 7. The effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on the concentration of chlorophyll b in leaves (mg⁻¹).

	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	antisaline average
S ₀	0.43	0.46	0.53	0.47
	e	de	cd	C
S ₁	0.46	0.5	0.63	0.53
	de	de	ab	B

*According to Duncan's polynomial test, The coefficients with similar letters do not differ significantly at the 0.05 probability level.

Table 8. Effect of adding antisaline and marine algae on the percentage of carotene in leaves (mg g⁻¹).

reducing salinity in the study soil is attributed to the role of anti-salinity in reducing salinity stresses that cause high salinity by the capillary property through permanent moisture. (10) is believed that anticline reduces the water stress of crops and achieves the highest production, reduces the costs of delivering irrigation water to agricultural fields and increases the utilization of soil moisture storage. It reduces fertilizer losses because it reduces surface run-off and deep seepage and increases net production by increasing crop yield and quality. It reduces waterlogging problems by reducing excessive amounts of water. It helps control root zone salinization problems by reducing excessive amounts of water that cause the water level to rise in the ground.

Also, irrigation water contains salts; increasing irrigation means adding salts to the soil. This leads to achieving additional returns by using the water stored in the soil for irrigation during the short periods when the crop is not irrigated, consistent with (11). Water stress occurs due to low water content in the soil due to constant water loss. Also, water stress causes many physiological and chemical changes in the plant, reducing plant growth, leaf size, stem elongation, root expansion and reduced water use efficiency¹². It is believed that the antisaline expels the salts from the root area, thus increasing plant growth and giving the plant a greater chance of growth and expansion through cell elongation and division and leading to the closing of the stomata

due to changing hormone levels in the plant by decreasing levels of cytokinin in the roots and increasing levels of abscisic acid in the leaf and decreasing Transpiration rates and water stress in plant tissues, especially in the soil of saline and salinity-affected areas¹³. Some theories state how to overcome the problem of salinity and activate the anti-salinity action in its explicit form, and that is through spraying with potassium sulfate fertilizer by reducing the osmotic effort of the leaves or improving the nutrition of the host and then improving the tolerance of plants to drought. The importance of potassium comes from its role in many physiological processes, especially the transport and storage of represented substances and water relations inside plants affected by salinity, and this is consistent with (14,15). The results of the same tables show the superiority of the addition of marine algae. The reason is due to the role of algae in that these algae contain many major and minor elements and plant hormones such as auxins and gibberellins, which leads to fossilization, division, elongation of cells and growth of meiotic tissues. It also regulates biological and physiological processes, which stimulates and increases the efficiency of carbon metabolism, thus improving the plant's vegetative growth, and this agrees with the results reached by Spinelli⁴. It is believed that the reason for adding marine algae is because it contains many macro and micronutrients and plant hormones, especially auxins and cytokines, which have an influential role in increasing plant height, number of leaves, leaf area and chlorophyll concentrations as they encourage the process of cell division and cell elongation, as well as the effect of nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium. Moreover, amino acids have a wide range in preparing vital plant activities. These results are in agreement.

Conclusions

The application of antisaline to the soil with a concentration of 2 ml L⁻¹ gave the best results in most of the studied traits in the vegetative growth characteristics of the cauliflower cultivar Mica. The addition of marine algae by spraying it on the vegetative, the treatment with concentration (4 ml L⁻¹) gave the best results in most of the studied traits in the vegetative growth characteristics of cauliflower cultivar Mica. As for the interaction between the factors, the treatment (2 and 4 ml L⁻¹ for antisaline and marine algae, respectively) gave the best results in most of the studied traits in the vegetative growth characteristics of cauliflower cultivar Mica.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this manuscript.

Bibliographic references

1. Ahmed, M,Y, and Salem, S, A. Effect of growth regulators, anticline agents and catechus on the rate of vegetative characteristics of the multiplied ex vivo citrus succulent stormily rootstocks. *Al Furat Journal of Agricultural Sciences*;2020; 12 (2): 511-521.
2. Rajasekar, M., Udhaya Nandhini, D., and Suganthi, S. Supplementation of mineral nutrients through foliar spray-A review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*;2017; 6(3): 2504-2513
3. Al-Sahhaf, F. H. Applied Plant Nutrition, Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. The University of Baghdad. House of Wisdom. Mosul. Iraq;1989.;2(6):1-12.
4. Spinelli, F. G., Fiori, M., Noferini, M., and Sprocatti, C. G. A novel type of seaweed extract as a natural alternative to the use of iron chelates in strawberry production. *Scientia horticulturae*;2010; 125(3): 263-269.
5. Morales-Payan, J.P., Norrie, J. Accelerating the growth of Avocado (*Persea americana* L.) in the nursery using a soil-applied, commercial extract of the brown alga *Ascophyllum nodosum*. *XX International Sea weeds Symposium*;2010;189(3):1-13.
6. Al-Hadithi, M. A. C. Effect of different fertilization sources and the growth regulator Brassinosteroid on the growth of yield of apricot trees. Thesis, College of College of Agriculture. Baghdad University,(2015).
7. Ismail, A. A., and Abdel Sattar, K. G. Response of olive seedlings to the addition of seaweed extract to soil and foliar nutrition with magnesium. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*;2012; 43 (2):119-131.
8. Central Statistical Organization. Agricultural Statistics Directorate. Crop and vegetable production report,(2019).
9. Al-Rawi, h. M., and Abdel Aziz, K. A. Book of Design and Analysis of Agricultural Experiments. College of Agriculture and Forestry. University of Mosul,(2000).
10. Al-Azzawi, O. M. R. The effect of organic fertilization and spraying of humic and salicylic acid on reducing salt stress in the soil and growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica Oleracea*.L). Soil and Water Resources Science. Thesis, College of Agricultural Engineering. Baghdad University,(2020).
11. Broner, I.. Colorado State University.fact. Sheet.4.708(2005).
12. Farooq, M., Wahid , N., Kobayashi , D.F., and Basra, S. M. A.plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. *Agron. Sustain .Dev.*;2009;29(1):185-212.
13. Jaleel, C. A. P., Manivannan, A. W. M., Farooq, H. J., Al-Juburi, R. S. and Panneerselvam R. Drought Stress in Plant: A Review on Morphological Characteristics and Pigments Composition. *Int. J. Biol*;2009; 11(1): 100-105.
14. Yordanov, I., V., and Velikova, T. T. Plant to responses to drought and stress tolerance. *Bulg. J. Plant Physiol.* ;2003;187-206.
15. Mahdi, S. S., G. I., Hassan, S. A., Samoon, H. A., Rather, S. A., and Dar Zehra, B. Biofertilizers in organic agriculture. *Journal of Phytology*;2010 2(10): 42 - 54.
16. Ibrahim, Z. R. Effect of foliar spray of ascorbic acid, Zn, seaweed extracts (Sea) force and biofertilizers (EM-1) on vegetative growth and root growth of olive (*Olea Europaea* L.) transplants cv. HojBlanca. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology*;2013; 17(2): 79-89.