

# Effect of hydrostatic pressure on some quality properties in Iraqi rams and calves' meats.

Rabeea Mahmood<sup>1\*</sup>, Anwer Mhannawee<sup>2</sup>, Esraa Mohsen<sup>3</sup>

 Animal Production/ Agriculture College/ University of Kerbala; Iraq.
 Animal Production/ Agriculture College/ University of Kerbala; Anwer.mahdi@uokerbala.edu.iq,Iraq
 General Directorate of Vocational Education/ Ministry of Education/ Iraq; eesraam1985@gmail.com.
 \* Correspondence; <u>rabeea.arrubaii@uokerbala.edu.iq</u> Available from. http://dx.doi.org/10.21931/RB/2023.08.04.74

## ABSTRACT

Two experiments have been conducted in this research to determine the effects of hydrostatic pressure on Iraqi rams and calves' meats. (One experiment for each kind of meat), Four treatments were designed for each experiment: control with no hydrostatic pressure, T1 with 100 bar exposed pressure, T2 with 200 bar and T3 with 300 bar. The pressures were generated and handled via a device designed and manufactured for this goal. The studied properties were water holding capacity WHC, thaw loss, fragmentation index FI, myofibril fragmentation index MFI, protein solubility and shear force. All these properties are strongly related to meat tenderness. All studied properties were affected significantly by applying hydrostatic pressure on meat samples to rams and calves' meat samples. Therefore, exposing the meat to hydrostatic pressure enhances its tenderness-related properties.

Keywords: hydrostatic pressure; tenderness; Protein solubility.

## **INTRODUCTION**

A very high percentage of animals are culled annually for many reasons, including aging <sup>1</sup>. Aging is the primary reason behind the worldwide decrease in tenderness in all kinds of meats. Tenderness is the first quality consumers would feel when chewing a piece of meat under the teeth <sup>2</sup>. Picard and Gagaoua <sup>3</sup> have defined it as the easiness that meat insidious compositions collapse under teeth. Tenderness is one of three attributes that determine meats' palatability, flavor, and juiciness <sup>4</sup>. Despite tenderness being the attribute, many researchers have tested, it is still elusive, and its subtle mechanisms are well because of the many factors that stand in the background, like age, gender, breed, species, enzyme activity and so on <sup>1, 5</sup>. So, many researchers focused on enhancing tenderness via developing many technical or chemical techniques that would have reflected on the meat industry field directly or indirectly <sup>2</sup>.

All enhancing tenderness techniques, including both pre-slaughter and post-slaughter factors, depend on the treatments after slaughter until reaching the enzymatic analysis and far <sup>6</sup>. The most common tenderizing process is traditional aging, the oldest one ever, which depends upon leaving carcasses for some time to enhance their flavor and texture (ripening) <sup>7</sup>. Tenderizing by electrical stimulation is another technique; this process depends on fastening glycogen analysis inside muscles and producing lactic acid, whereas the muscle's pH reaches 6 before the temperature reaches 10 Celsius. That means that this process is conducted after slaughter directly <sup>8,9</sup>. Mechanical tenderization is another process for tenderizing meat; it is applying meat after slaughter

to processes like hanging, mincing, cutting or even cooking; all these processes are aimed to enhance the palatability of meats by enhancing tenderness and its relatives' attributes <sup>10, 11</sup>. Chemical tenderization demands adding specific chemicals to have a positive effect on tenderness. This may be salts, phosphate, or organic acid. The positive effect would occur via manipulating the meat properties related to tenderness like protein solubility, water holding capacity, collagen contents and so on <sup>12, 13</sup>. Many other tenderizing processes exist, like enzymatic and ultrasonic tenderizing, and so on <sup>14</sup>. The goal of this research is to investigate another kind of tenderizing technique. Testing a process of exposing Iraqi rams and calves' meats to hydrostatic pressure and measuring the effects that can appear in tenderness-related properties that reflect the meat's tenderness status.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Hydrostatic pressure generator device

Figure 1 refers to a locally-made device. This device can generate hydrostatic pressure by pressing water trapped inside a closed cylindrical shape container. The compressed water is in contact with meat samples inside the same container, where the meat samples go under hydrostatic pressure for a specific period, and the changes are measured afterward. The parts of the device are:

1- The steel frame: Holds all the device's parts and the function of assembling all other parts.

2- Hydraulic pump: Generates hydraulic pressure {reaches to 400 bar (Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>).}

3- The cylindrical pressure container: This is the central part of the device and has two sections. The first one receives the pressure (as a compressed liquid) from the pump via specific high-pressure tubes. The second section is a cavern container containing the samples, filled with water and tidied up before being exposed to pressure. Between these two sects, there is a kit of specific rubber diaphragms to prevent oil and water from being mixed.

4- The pressure gauge: To measure the amount of exposed pressure by bar unit or (Kg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

5- The spring: Reset the device after each operation.

#### Experiments

Two experiments were conducted in this research. The first uses Iraqi ram meat, while the second uses Iraqi calves' meat.

Experiment one: an amount of Iraqi ram meat from the right leg (femoral) was used in this exp. Which was purchased from the local market in Kerbala / Iraq. The meats were cut into four, each weighing 300 g. Then, each part of these four was distributed to treatments.

Experiment two: an amount of Iraqi calves' meat from the right leg (round) was used in this exp. Which was purchased from the local market in Kerbala/ Iraq. The meats were cut into four, each weighing 300 g. Then, each part of these four was distributed to treatments.

#### Treatments

for each experiment, four treatments have been conducted:

- Control treatment CONT .: no hydrostatic pressure has been conducted at all.
- Treatment one T1: Five minutes of 100 bar hydrostatic pressure was applied to the meat samples.
- Treatment two T2: Five-minute 200 bar hydrostatic pressure was applied to the meat samples.
- Treatment three T3: 300 bar hydrostatic pressure was applied to the meat samples for five minutes.

#### **Studied properties**

• Water holding capacity WHC: what Dolatowski and Stasiak <sup>15</sup> mentioned but with some modifications. 50 ml from each sample was taken and homogenized with distilled water for one minute and then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for ten minutes. WHC was (added water weight- removed water)/sample weight 100.

• Thaw loss percentage: which was calculated via the process of Denhertog-Meische et al. <sup>16</sup>. Samples from each treatment were weighted and frozen under -20CO under vacuum condition for two weeks in plastic bags,

then thawed in the refrigerator at 4 CO overnight, weighted again after removing thaw liquids. Thaw loss was calculated using the formula (sample weight after freezing – sample weight after thawing)/ sample weight after freezingX100.

• fragmentation index FI: FI value was measured according to Davis et al. <sup>17</sup>. The values would be distributed from (100 to tender meats to 600 to tough meat)

• Myofibril fragmentation index MFI: as Culler et al. <sup>18</sup> mentioned, the NFI has been calculated.

• protein solubility: these values were evaluated according to Denthertog-Meische et al. <sup>16</sup>, and calculated the final values via the process of Gomell et al. <sup>19</sup>

• Shear force: as recorded by Abdulla et al. <sup>20</sup> by using the Warner-Bratezler device. A piece of meat from each sample was taken (diameters of 2cm thick and 10 cm long), cooked in a water bath (without touching the water) for 90 minutes at 70 Co., and then cooled in the refrigerator overnight before being exposed to the W-B device. The W-B device is designed to conduct pressure to a knife edge and record the sample resistance to cut, representing the tenderness or toughness of meat samples.

### Statistical analysis

All values recorded were analyzed by CRD design, and the comparison between treatments was obtained using the Duncan test.

### RESULTS



Figure 1. Hydrostatic pressure generator device.

## Water holding capacity WHC

Table 1 illustrates the differences between treatments in water holding capacity WHC trait. For both ram and calves meat, the treatment with 300 bars scored the highest values, 35 and 40 for both calves and rams, respectively, compared with the lowest value that belonged to the control for each experiment.

| TRT.  | Pressure value<br>( bar) | WHC percentage in<br>Iraqi calves' meat | WHC percentage in Iraqi<br>ram meat |
|-------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Cont. | zero                     | 10 ±0.5 d                               | 12 ±0.61 d                          |
| T1    | 100                      | 20±0.1 c                                | 23±0.21 c                           |
| T2    | 200                      | 31±0.22 b                               | 33±0.2 b                            |
| T3    | 300                      | 35±0.11 a                               | 40±0.02 a                           |

<sup>1</sup>T. Different letters in each column show significant differences between treatments ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

 Table 1. The effect of hydrostatic pressure on WHC in Iraqi rams and calves' meats.

#### Thaw loss

Table 2 shows an enhancement in thaw loss (decreasing value) by applying more hydrostatic pressure for both experiments. Even though the enhancement in calves' meat was insignificant under all kinds of pressure, the pressure treatment overlapped the control (without pressure). In the rams' meat experiment, the enhancement was more noticeable even though there were no significant differences between T1 and T2. However, the values all differentiated obviously.

| TRT.  | Pressure value<br>( bar) | Thaw loss value (rams meat) | Thaw loss value (calves meat) |
|-------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cont. | Zero                     | 4.3±0.4 a                   | 3.5±0.6 a                     |
| T1    | 100                      | 3.1±0.32 b                  | 2±0.7 b                       |
| Τ2    | 200                      | 2.9±0.52 b                  | 2.1±0.4 b                     |
| T3    | 300                      | 2.1±0.4 c                   | 1.8±0.5 b                     |

<sup>2</sup>T. Different letters in each column show significant differences between treatments ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Table 2. The effect of hydrostatic pressure on Thaw loss values in Iraqi rams and calves' meats.

## **Fragmentation index FI**

From Table 3, it is evident that the value of IF differed significantly with increasing hydrostatic pressure. IF value Proportional reversely to meat tenderness. A high IF value means less tenderness and vice versa. The highest values were related to control treatment for calves' and rams' meat samples. That means the meats with no pressure exposure had less tenderness status. At the same time, the highest values were related to the treatment of 300 bar (T3s) for each experiment. That means the more pressure exposed, the more tender meats. Moreover, this new tenderization process could be helpful as a new technique in this process.

| TRT.      | Pressure<br>value<br>( bar) | Tenderness<br>statue (rams<br>meat) | FI values<br>(rams<br>meat) | Tenderness statue<br>(calves meat) | FI values<br>(calves meat) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Cont.     | Zero                        | Rather tender                       | 254±6 a                     | Rather tender                      | 292±6.3 a                  |
| <b>T1</b> | 100                         | Tender                              | 200±4.5 b                   | tender                             | 274±5 b                    |
| Т2        | 200                         | More tender                         | 199±4.7 b                   | More tender                        | 199±7.7 c                  |
| Т3        | 300                         | Much more ten-<br>der               | 137±1.77 d                  | Much more tender                   | 187±1.3 d                  |

<sup>3</sup>T. Different letters in each column show significant differences between treatments ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Table 3. The effect of hydrostatic pressure on fragmentation index (FI) values in Iraqi rams and calves' meats.

| TRT.  | Pressure<br>value<br>( bar) | Tenderness<br>statue (rams<br>meat) | MFI<br>(rams meat) | Tenderness<br>statue<br>(calves meat) | MFI<br>(calves meat) |
|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Cont. | Zero                        | Tenderness meat                     | 55±0.88 c          | Rather ten-<br>derness                | 50±0.09 b            |
| T1    | 100                         | Rather tenderness                   | 53±0.09 d          | Rather ten-<br>derness                | 52±0.07 b            |
| T2    | 200                         | More tenderness                     | 66±0.34 b          | Tenderness<br>meat                    | 68±0.07 a            |
| Т3    | 300                         | Much more ten-<br>derness           | 68±0.81 a          | Tenderness<br>meat                    | 67±0.09 a            |

## Myofibril fragmentation index MFI

<sup>4</sup>T. Different letters in each column show significant differences between treatments ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Table 4. The effect of hydrostatic pressure on myofibril fragmentation index (MFI) values in Iraqi rams and calves' meats.

It can be seen from Table 4 that the property of MFI was significantly different with pressure differences applied. The highest value was recorded in T3 (300 bar) for experiments 67 and 68 for calves' meat and rams' meat, respectively. At the same time, the lowest values belonged to control for each treatment. This means a noticeable enhancement could occur when exposing meat to hydrostatic pressure.

## **Protein Solubility**

| TRT.  | Pressure value<br>( bar) | Protein solubility<br>Mg/g (rams meat) | Protein solubility Mg/g (calves meat) |
|-------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Cont. | zero                     | 70±0.1 a                               | 62.3±0.1 a                            |
| T1    | 100                      | 71.89±0.72 a                           | 58.8±0.07 b                           |
| T2    | 200                      | 62±0.44 b                              | 56±0.1 c                              |
| Т3    | 300                      | 44.2±0.34 c                            | 51.5±0.09 d                           |

<sup>5</sup>T. Different letters in each column show significant differences between treatments ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Table 5: The effect of hydrostatic pressure on protein solubility values in Iraqi rams and calves' meats.

Table 5 illustrates significant differences between myofibril protein solubility treatments with increasing hydrostatic pressure. The highest values came from the highest pressure and vice versa in each experiment. Otherwise, in the ram experiment, no significant differences were observed between the control treatment and T1 (100 bar), while noticeable significant differences occurred among all others.

## **Shear Force**

The adequate force required to cut a specific piece of meat is shear. Table 6 exhibits these values for both experiments. It could be shown that the highest values were obtained from control treatments for calves 4.48 Kg and rams 4.55 Kg, respectively. At the same time, the lowest ones were from T3s at 3.39 Kg and 2.68 Kg for calves' and rams' meat samples, respectively. The results strongly confirm this research's hypothesis by relating the amount of hydrostatic pressure applied and the enhancement in tenderness as a reflection of easiness undercutting.

| TRT.  | Pressure<br>value<br>( bar) | Shear force Kg<br>(rams meat) | Shear force Kg<br>(calves meat) |
|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cont. | zero                        | 4.550±0.07 a                  | 5.480±0.05 a                    |
| T1    | 100                         | 3.860 ±0.01 b                 | 4.460 ±0.04 b                   |
| T2    | 200                         | 3.210±0.02 c                  | 4.100±0.08 c                    |
| Т3    | 300                         | 2.680±0.09 d                  | 3.390±0.03 d                    |

<sup>6</sup>T. Different letters in each column show significant differences between treatments ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Table 6. The effect of hydrostatic pressure on shear force values in Iraqi rams and calves' meats.

#### DISCUSSION

The property of WHC is one of the critical properties in meat science because of its importance for both producers and consumers of meat. This trait is related to other important traits like juiciness and tenderness, so its value would affect the tenderness directly. WHC correlated with meat protein surface area, which could form a bond with water and couch it down. Meats that can catch water are often better from chemical and nutritional aspects <sup>21</sup>. This experiment accorded with what was obtained by Bertram <sup>22</sup>, who mentioned that a decrease in WHC value means a reduction in meat value on the myofibril plane—losing water after thawing had been mentioned in more than one research <sup>23</sup>. While Moreno et al., <sup>24</sup> referred to the relation between this character and the statue of myofibril proteins, the bonds could be formed with water. In general, this trait is related to WHC and complemented with it. In our research, exposing hydrostatic pressure enhances these two properties.

The property of FI is considered a good indicator for measuring collagen defragmentation and other connective tissues; this is why this trait is always connected with tenderness <sup>17</sup>. The decrease in number means more fragmentation cases decreasing in the precipitate, which means more tenderness <sup>25</sup>. This fact is ours. So, the fact abstracted from our results is that the more pressure exposed, the more tender the meats are. MFI trait differs from FI because the rise in MFI value means an increase in tenderness in contrast with FI, in which the rise in its value means a decrease in tenderness. More than one research pointed out that myofibril proteins are the majority of protein contents in striated muscles, responsible for transferring the movement to the skeleton via contraction-relaxation operation. So, myofibril proteins are continuously changing during an animal's lifetime <sup>26</sup>. From a meat science aspect, myofibril status reflects the history of pressure muscles are exposed to during animal life. For that, the ability of myofibril to fragment is related to animal age and its physiological conditions—the more the ability to fragment, the more tenderness meats obtain <sup>27</sup>. The results of this experiment showed that there is a response in the MFI trait after exposing meat samples to hydrostatic pressure.

Moreover, exposing meat to controlled hydrostatic pressure could be a new process in meat tenderization. The results were accorded with Koohmaraie and Whipple <sup>28</sup>, who said that the MFI could indicate tenderness and the carcasses' development after slaughter and rigor mortis. Exposing meat to hydrostatic pressure could be an assistant factor in shortening the period of rigor mortis and fastening and getting rid of it. This may be a good factor in the meat industry.

Protein solubility may be defined as a more profound status of myofibril fragmentation index (MFI). Myofibril protein molecules become smaller and lighter in molecular weight, so they have more ability to solubility <sup>29</sup>. The same research referred to myofibril protein solubility related to animal age and its physiological condition, rather more, type and location of the muscles in the body. Our results showed a relation between pressure and protein solubility; the more pressure applied; the more solubility recorded. ThusExposing hydrostatic pressure is a new technique in this process. The results of these two experiments in this research came across with those of Swatland <sup>30</sup> and Lyon and Lyon <sup>31</sup>, who both referred to the relationship between shear force and meat tenderness.

## CONCLUSIONS

Applying hydrostatic pressure on meat enhances the tenderness property and other related characteristics. Therefore, these results may be a starting point for developing a new tenderization process and all its other applications. It is recommended to conduct another research aiming for another kind of meat or with another amount of pressure to confirm the results.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization and methodology RM writing-original draft preparation, A. M.; writing-review and editing, EM All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Ethical review and approval were waived for this study due to no humans or living animals. Regular market meat samples were used only.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Koohmaraie, M.; Gessink, G. H.; Thompson, V.F.; Taylor, R.G.; Goll, D.E. Is Z- disk degradation responsible for postmortem tenderization? J. Anim. Sci. 1994. 73:1351-1367.
- Zuhaib, F.; James, D.; Susan, L. M.; Alaa El-Din A. B. Applied and Emerging Methods for Meat Tenderization: A Comparative Perspective. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety. CRFSFS. 2018. Vol 0. DOI: 10.1111/1541-4337.12356.
- Picard, B.; Gagaoua, M. Proteomic investigations of beef tenderness. In M. L. Colgrave. Proteomics in food science from farm to fork (pp. 177–197). Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA: Academic Press. 2017. Retrieved from <u>https://doi-org.ezproxy.lincoln.ac.nz/10.1016/B978-0-12-804007- 2.00011-4</u>.
- 4. Thorslund, C. A. H.; Sandøe, P.; Aaslyng, M. D.; Lassen, J. A good taste in the meat, a good taste in the mouth, Animal welfare as an aspect of pork quality in three European countries. Livestock Sci, 2016. 193, 58–65.
- Thabit S S, Awad M M, Abdulateef S M. Effects of In-Ovo Injection of sialic acid on Chick's embryonic development and physiological traits. Revis Bionatura 2023;8 (2) 49. http://dx.doi.org/10.21931/RB/2023.08.02.49.
- A. Mahdii, B., K. Imran, F., J. Sultan, L. The Efficiency Of Microbiology In The Process Of Pesticide Biodegradation. Anbar Journal Of Agricultural Sciences, 2023; 21(1): 105-113. doi: 10.32649/ajas.2023.179720.
- 7. Sitz, B. M.; Calkins, C. R.; Feuz, D. M.; Umberger, W. J.; Eskridge, K. M. Consumer sensory acceptance and value of wet-aged and dry-aged beefsteaks. J. Anim. Sci. 2006. 84, 1221–1226.
- 8. Lang, Y.; Sha, K.; Zhang, R.; Xie, P.; Luo, X.; Sun, B.; Liu, X. Effect of electrical stimulation and hot boning on the eating quality of Gannan yak longissimus lumborum. Meat Sci. 2016. 112, 3–8.
- 9. Odeh A, Abdalmoohsin RG, AL-Abedy AN. Molecular identification of Fusarium brachygibbosum and some isolates of Trichoderma spp. Int. J. Pharmaceut. Res. 2021 Jan 1;13(1):1390-6.
- 10. Pietrasik, Z.; Shand, P. J. Effect of blade tenderization and tumbling time on the processing characteristics and tenderness of injected cooked roast. Meat Sci. 2004. 66, 871–879.
- 11. Sorheim, O.; Hildrum, K. I. Muscle stretching techniques for improving meat tenderness. Trends Food Sci Technol . 2002. 13, 127–135.
- 12. Ibraheem M W, Muhaimeed A R, Mohammed Th. T. Leg cuts from Awaasi lambs fed a diet with varying levels of Rhus coriaria L., Physical dissection and chemical composition. Revis Bionatura 2022;7(4) 4. http://dx.doi.org/10.21931/RB/2022.07.04.4.
- 13. Oreskovich, D. C.; Bechtel, P. J.; McKeith, F. K.; Novakofski, J.; Basgall, E. J. Marinade pH affects textural properties of beef. J. Food Sci. 1992. 57, 305–311.

- 14. Sikes, A. L.; Mawson, R.; Stark, J.; Warner, R. Quality properties of pre- and post-rigor beef muscle after interventions with high frequency ultrasound. ULTRASON. SONOCHEM. 2014. 21, 2138–2143.
- 15. Dolatowski, J.Z.; Stasiak, D.M.. The effect of low and intensity ultrasound on pre-rigor meat on structure and functional parameters of freezing and thawed beef semimebranosus muscle. Proc. 44th Int. Cong. Meat. Sci. Technol. Barcelona, Spain. 1998.
- Denhertog- Meischke, M.J.A.; Smulderes, F.J.M.; Vanknapen, F. The effects of electrical stimulation on the water holding capacity and protein denaturation of two bovine muscle. J. Anim. Sci. 1997. 75:118-124.
- 17. Davis, G.W.; Duston, T.R.; Smith, G.C.; Carpenter, ZL Fragmentation procedure for bovine longissimus muscle as an index of cooked steak tenderness. J. Food. Sci. 1980. 45:880-884.
- Culler, R.D.; Parrish, F.C.; Smith, G.C.; Cross, HR Relationship of myofibril fragmentation index to certain chemical, physical and sensory characteristics of bovine longissimus muscle. J. Food. Sci. 1978. 43:1177-1180.
- Najim, Y. S. .; Mohammed, T. T. .; Hussain, F. M. . The Effect Of The Use Of Different Levels Of Azolla To Male Broilers Diets In The Productive And Physiological Performance. JLSAR 2022, 3, 37-41.
- Abdulla, M.K.; Jasim, A.A.; al-rubeii Amera. MS Development a locally manufactured equipment to measure sheep meat tenderness as correlation with chemical, physical and sensory evaluation. Alex. J. Fd. Sci. & Technol. 2008. Special Volume Conference, PP. 13-20.
- 21. Tornberg, E. Effects of heat on meat protein: implications on structure and quality of meat products. Meat Sci.2005. 70:493-508.
- 22. Bertram, H.C.; Purslow, PP; Anderson, H.J. Relationship between meat structure, water mobility, and distribution: A low-field Nuclear magnetic resonance study. J. Agri. Food Chem.2002. 50:824-829.
- Boonsumrej, S.; Chaiwanichsiri, S.; Tantratian, S.; Suzuki, T.; Takai, R. Effects of freezing and thawing on the quality changes of tiger shrimp (Penaeus Monodon) frozen by air-blast and cryogenic freezing. J. Food Eng. 2007. 80. 292–299.
- 24. Moreno, T.; Perez, N.; Oliete, B.; Carballo, J.A.; Franco, D.; Monserrat, L. Effects on quality attributes of commercial veal pieces under different aging treatments. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2007. 42:373-379.
- 25. Cable, JR fragmentation index as an early postmortem predictor of beef tenderness. MSc. Thesis. Texas Tech. Univ, USA. 1983.
- 26. Bates, P.C.; Millward, D.J. Myofibril protein turnover: synthesis rate of myofibrillar and sarcoplasmic protein fractions in different muscles and the changes observed during postnatal development and in response to feeding and starvation. Biochem. J. 1983. 214:587-592.
- 27. Veiseth, E.; Shackelford, S.D.; Wheeler, T.L.; Koohmaraie, M. Technical note: comparison of myofibril fragmentation index from fresh and frozen pork and lamb longissimus. J. Anim. Sci. 2001. 79:904-906.
- Koohmaraie, M. Ovine skeletal muscle multicatalytic proteinase complex (proteosome) purification, characterization and comparison of its effects on myofibrils with μ-calpin. J. Anim. Sci. 1992. 70:3697-3708.
- 29. Iwanowska, A.; Iwanaska, E.; Grzes, B.; Mikolajezak, B.; Pospiech, E.; Rosochacki, S.; Juszezuk-Kubiak, E.; Lyezynski, A. Changes in proteins and tenderness of meat from young bulls of four breeds at three ages over 10 days of cold storage. Anim. Sci. 2010. 28:13-25.
- Swatland, H.J. Basic science for carcass grading. VI Congresso Brasileiro de Ciência e Tecnologia de Carnes, 2011. 6: 119-126.
- 31. Lyon, C.E.; Lyon, B.G. The relationship of objective shear values and sensory tests to changes in tenderness of broiler breast meat. Poultry Sci. 1991. 69:1420-1427.

## Received: 26 September 2023 / Accepted: 15 April 2023 / Published:15 December 2023

**Citation:** Mahmood, R.; Mhannawee, A.; Mohsen, E. Effect of exposing hydrostatic pressure on some of quality properties in Iraqi rams and calves' meats. Revis Bionatura 2023;8 (4) 74. http://dx.doi.org/10.21931/RB/2023.08.04.74 **Publisher's Note:** Bionatura stays neutral concerning jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).